

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/885,296	06/20/2001	Atsushi Shimizu	15115/003001	2665	
22511 75	590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER		
OSHA & MAY L.L.P.			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
1221 MCKINNEY STREET HOUSTON, TX 77010			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629	3629	
			DATE MAILED, 11/22/200	DATE MAIL ED. 11/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/885,296	SHIMIZU, ATSUSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 37-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 37-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Interior Summer	(PTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/885,296

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-36 have been cancelled and Claims 37-42 have been added; therefore,
 Claims 37-42 are currently pending in application 09/885,296.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 37-42</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Bechet et al. (Bechet, Thomas P; Walker, James W, "Aligning Staffing with Business Strategy," Human Resource Planning, v16n2, pp:1-16, 1993).
- 4. As per **independent Claim 37**, Bechet discloses a method executed in a computer to form a development plan (retraining) for an individual in an organization, the method comprising: (a) receiving an answer pattern for questions through an interface of the computer (automation of known staffing analysis process, pg. 9), obtaining capability information comprising a capability type in the organization and a capability amount by comparing the answer pattern with a determining table (skills matrix) stored in a memory of the computer (required competencies/skills,

pg.9) (Skills Matrix, "Head Contents" - Automated System, pg.3, pg.5), wherein the determining table comprises a first conversion table associating the answer pattern with a business pattern comprising a business content and a business amount per a predetermined time period, and a second conversion table associating the business pattern with the capability information (pg.3, business context and staffing analysis); (b) comparing the capability amount with a first predetermined criterion for the respective capability types (defining staffing gaps, pgs. 10-11), retrieving, as a strong capability type of the individual, the capability type that exceeds the first predetermined criterion; (c) accumulating the capability amounts of the respective individuals in the organization for the respective capability types, comparing the accumulated capability amount with a second predetermined criterion for the respective capability types, retrieving, as a weak capability type in the organization, the capability type of the accumulated capability amount that falls below the second predetermined criterion (defining staffing gaps, pgs.10-11); (d) specifying a record regarding a specific individual having the strong capability that matches with the weak capability type in the organization under a database of the computer (individual with high potential/talent, pgs.11-12), wherein the database comprises a plurality of records of individuals, (e) forming the development plan (Action Plan, pg.3) of the specific individual, such that the development plan shows the strong capability type as a recommended capability type the specific individual needs to enhance (pg.12, High potentials are identified for participation in development actions; pg.11, Given an assessment of alternative actions to address anticipated staffing

needs, it is necessary to evaluate the current capabilities and development needs of talent, define action plans...); (Bechet, Thomas P; Walker, James W, "Aligning Staffing with Business Strategy," Human Resource Planning, v16n2, pp:1-16, 1993).

- 5. Bechet fails to expressly disclose outputting the formed development plan in a predetermined output form with an output device of the computer.
- 6. However, Bechet does disclose using computer-based models (pg.11) to perform business-planning operations to include the calculation of staffing gaps (e.g., shortages or surpluses in required skills or staffing levels, pg. 10), and the output of such a calculation would have been obvious to include with and computer-based operation at the time the invention was made. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to include the additional business identification/planning/action steps disclosed by Bechet in a computer-based model, as it would simply be a matter of automating a well-known business process.
- 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included outputting the formed development plan in a predetermined output form with an output device of the computer in the system disclosed by Bechet, for the advantage of providing a method of forming a development plan for an individual in an organization, with the ability to more effectively and efficiently process/report the business data by using computer automation (pg.11).
- 8. As per Claims 38, Bechet discloses obtaining a present capability information an a future capability information, retrieving a future strong capability type for the

respective individuals and a future weak capability type in the organization, and retrieving the specific individuals having the future strong capability type that matches with the future weak capability type in the organization (staffing gap analysis for future demands, pg. 8-11).

9. As per independent Claim 39, Bechet discloses a method executed in a computer to select an individual to be supplemented for an organization (Recruitment, Redeployment), the method comprising: (a) receiving an answer pattern for questions through an interface of the computer (automation of known staffing analysis process, pg. 9), obtaining capability information comprising a capability type in the organization and a capability amount by comparing the answer pattern with a determining table (skills matrix) stored in a memory of the computer (required competencies/skills, pg.9) (Skills Matrix, "Head Contents" – Automated System, pg.3, pg.5), wherein the determining table comprises a first conversion table associating the answer pattern with a business pattern comprising a business content and a business amount per a predetermined time period, and a second conversion table associating the business pattern with the capability information (pg.3, business context and staffing analysis); storing individual information associated with the capability information into a database of the computer; (required competencies/skills, pg.9) (Skills Matrix, "Head Contents" – Automated System, pg.3, pg.5) (b) comparing the capability amount with a first predetermined criterion for the respective capability types (defining staffing gaps, pgs. 10-11), retrieving, as a strong capability type of the individual, the capability type that exceeds the first predetermined criterion; (c) accumulating the capability

Application/Control Number: 09/885,296

Art Unit: 3629

amounts of the respective individuals in the organization for the respective capability types, comparing the accumulated capability amount with a second predetermined criterion for respective capability types, retrieving, as a weak capability type in the organization, the capability type of the accumulated capability amount that falls below the second predetermined criterion (defining staffing gaps, pgs.10-11); (d) retrieving a specific individual information having the strong capability type that matches with the weak capability type in the organization from the database (Evaluate Current Talent, pg.11; Action Plans – closing gaps with recruiting and movement, pg.12) (Bechet, Thomas P; Walker, James W, "Aligning Staffing with Business Strategy," Human Resource Planning, v16n2, pp:1-16, 1993).

- 10. Bechet fails to expressly disclose outputting a result of the step in a predetermined output form with an output device of the computer.
- 11. However, as explained above, Bechet does disclose using computer-based models (pg.11) to perform business-planning operations to include the calculation of staffing gaps (e.g., shortages or surpluses in required skills or staffing levels, pg. 10), and the output of such a calculation would have been obvious to include with and computer-based operation at the time the invention was made. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to include the additional business identification/planning/action steps disclosed by Bechet in a computer-based model, as it would simply be a matter of automating a well-known business process.

- 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included outputting a result of the step in a predetermined output form with an output device of the computer in the system disclosed by Bechet, for the advantage of providing a method for selecting an individual to be supplemented for an organization, with the ability to more effectively and efficiently process/report the business data by using computer automation (pg.11).
- 13. As per Claims 40, Bechet discloses obtaining a present capability information and a future capability information, retrieving a future strong capability type for the respective individuals and a future weak capability type in the organization, and retrieving the specific individuals having them future strong capability type that matches with the future weak capability type in the organization (staffing gap analysis for future demands, pg. 8-11).
- 14. As per Claims 41, Bechet discloses wherein the method holds the accumulated capability amount as a previous accumulated capability amount, re-executed the steps (b) and (c) after executing the step (e), and retrieves the progress of the capability in the organization base on the re-accumulated capability amount and the previous accumulated capability amount (pgs.5-6 staffing analysis and planning is not merely a "one time" project").
- 15. As per **independent Claim 42**, Bechet discloses a method executed in a computer to select an individual to be moved from a first organization to a second organization (moved, redeployment), the method comprising: (a) receiving an answer pattern for questions through an interface of the computer (automation of

Application/Control Number: 09/885,296

Art Unit: 3629

known staffing analysis process, pg. 9), obtaining capability information comprising a capability type in the organization and a capability amount by comparing the answer pattern with a determining table (skills matrix) stored in a memory of the computer (required competencies/skills, pg.9) (Skills Matrix, "Head Contents" – Automated System, pg.3, pg.5), wherein the determining table comprises a first conversion table associating the answer pattern with a business pattern comprising a business content and a business amount per a predetermined time period, and a second conversion table associating the business pattern with the capability information (pg.3, business context and staffing analysis); (b) comparing the capability amount with a first predetermined criterion for the respective capability types (defining staffing gaps, pgs. 10-11), retrieving, as a strong capability type of the individual, the capability type that exceeds the first predetermined criterion; (c) accumulating the capability amounts of the respective individuals in the organization for the respective capability types, comparing the accumulated capability amount with a second predetermined criterion for respective capability types, retrieving, as a weak capability type in the organization, the capability type of the accumulated capability amount that falls below the second predetermined criterion for the respective organizations, retrieving, as a surplus capability in the organization, the capability type of the accumulated capability amount that exceeds the second predetermined criterion for the respective organizations (defining staffing gaps, pgs.10-11); (d) if the retrieved surplus capability type in the first organization matches with the retrieved weak capability type in the second organization, specifying a specific

individual having the strong capability type that matches with the surplus capability type in the first organization (Evaluate Current Talent, pg.11; Action Plans – closing gaps with recruiting and movement, pg.12) (Bechet, Thomas P; Walker, James W, "Aligning Staffing with Business Strategy," Human Resource Planning, v16n2, pp:1-16, 1993).

- 16. Bechet fails to expressly disclose outputting a result of the step as a candidate for moving to the second organization in a predetermined output for with an output device of the computer.
- 17. However, as explained above, Bechet does disclose using computer-based models (pg.11) to perform business-planning operations to include the calculation of staffing gaps (e.g., shortages or surpluses in required skills or staffing levels, pg. 10), and the output of such a calculation would have been obvious to include with and computer-based operation at the time the invention was made. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to include the additional business identification/planning/action steps disclosed by Bechet in a computer-based model, as it would simply be a matter of automating a well-known business process.
- 18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included outputting a result of the step as a candidate for moving to the second organization in a predetermined output for with an output device of the computer in the system disclosed by Bechet, for the advantage of providing a method for selecting an individual to be moved from a

Application/Control Number: 09/885,296 Page 10

Art Unit: 3629

first organization to a second organization, with the ability to more effectively and efficiently process/report the business data by using computer automation (pg.11).

Response to Arguments

- 19. Applicant's arguments filed 9/22/2004, with respect to Claims 37-42, have been considered but are not persuasive. The rejection will remain as FINAL, based on the sited prior art.
- 20. The applicant has made the argument that the sited art of Bechet fails to show or suggest at least the determining table as recited in claim 37.
- 21. However, Bechet does disclose gathering information regarding business needs/requirements, and matching these with current/future staffing requirements (pg.3, business context and staffing analysis)
- 22. The applicant also makes the argument that the sited prior art of Bechet fails to disclose at least the step c) as recited in claim 37; specifically, retrieving a weak capability type in the current organization the step required to form a development plan of a specific individual having a strong capability type that matches the weak capability type in the current organization.
- 23. Finally the applicant makes the argument that the sited prior art fails to disclose, supplementing the weak capability in the organization retrieved from the perspective of the accumulated capabilities with the strong capability of the specific individual in the organization.
- 24. However, Bechet discloses defining a business context and performing a staffing analysis; followed by development of an action plan (development plan), to

include development, redeployment, realignment, or recruitment of personnel (pgs.13-14).

25. Furthermore, as described in the previous office action, Bechet does disclose a process for determining staffing gaps (gaps between desired and actual capabilities; deficits in employees with required competencies, pg.5), which begins by determining business demands/requirements (pg.9), defining current/future staffing (levels and competencies) availability (pg.10), identifying staffing gaps (shortages or surpluses in required skills [organizationally/individually] – organizational requirements minus available individual talent) (pg.10-11), and finally the action plan that would balance the staffing gap (recruitment, movement, or development) (pg. 10-11).

Conclusion

- 26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am 5:00pm.
- 27. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.

28. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

November 15, 2004

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600